MAY 2 1 2010 FCC Mail Room Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: CG Docket Nos, 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Chairman Genachowski and Commissioners Copps, McDowell, Clyburn, and Baker,

Video Relay Service allows deaf individuals to communicate by phone in American Sign Language. This lifealtering broadband service is a vital link that connects deaf people to the hearing community.

Ensuring that deaf individuals have access to VRS and encouraging improvements in VRS should be a high priority for you as Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission. The Americans with Disabilities Act requires the FCC to make available to all deaf individuals nationwide "functionally equivalent" communications.

You will soon determine the future of VRS. When you set the VRS rate, you will determine whether America makes progress toward the statutory goals of functional equivalence, nationwide access, and inclusion – or force deaf users to revert to TTY communications. And, you will determine whether VRS fulfills its potential to drive broadband adoption by the deaf, even in the face of disproportionate poverty, disenfranchisement, and isolation.

I was deeply disturbed to see the Commission's recent Public Notice on VRS rates. These proposals will put VRS providers out of business and mean an end to VRS.

You should be increasing the availability and use of VRS, not cutting back. You should adopt a rate that encourages continuing improvements in VRS technology. Recent developments in VRS are a good example of how the service can be improved, such as enhanced 911 services, 10-digit numbering, a larger and better-trained pool of interpreters, and better videophones with an array of enhanced features. Monthly payments for broadband are a big expense for many deaf people, and instead of trying to cut back on VRS, you should be exploring ways to make VRS over broadband more affordable to deaf individuals.

Progress toward functional equivalence will be destroyed if the FCC does not encourage VRS providers to improve VRS and make it more widely available. VRS is a recent and dramatic advancement that benefits those who are deaf, but so much more can be done. It would be tragic if the FCC were to destroy this broadband service that is so vital to the deaf.

Sincerely,				
Signature ₋	Non animal		Date	
Name	Leen Cartiele			
Address	11 Congress Sto	. /		
City	Budland Pa	State <u>For</u>	ZIP 16/01	
Email	leonic (a' atto	ntichs, wet		

Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street SW Washington, DC 20554 Received & Inspected
MAY 2 1 2010
FCC Maii Room

Honorable Julius Genachowski, Chairman Commissioner Michael J. Copps Commissioner Robert M. McDowell Commissioner Mignon Clyburn Commissioner Meredith Attwell Baker

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

As a member of the deaf community, I am writing today to support the VRS rate proposal filed by Sorenson Communications. VRS was the first, and remains the best, broadband-based form of relay service. VRS allows me to use American Sign Language, my native language, to communicate across distance. Using VRS, I can make appointments and communicate with my family and friends. Because VRS is so essential in my everyday life, it is very important to me that the FCC establish a rate that ensures that VRS continues to thrive; Sorenson's proposal will do just that.

Sorenson proposes that the rate for all VRS providers be set at \$5.95 per minute for 2010-11 and reduced by 1% for the following four years. Establishing a five-year plan will maintain a stable business environment, enabling providers to set long-term goals, make long-term investments, and improve service to the deaf community. Setting a single rate that decreases each year will ensure that all providers compete on a "level playing field" and become more efficient every year.

Sorenson's proposal will allow VRS providers to continue to meet the needs of the deaf community and to advance the Americans with Disabilities Act's goals of improving technology, efficiency, nationwide access to communications, and functional equivalence.

enuse Bragg Date \$/17/2010

Sincerely,

Signature 5

DENISE bragg

3456 brinkley road apt 102 tmeple hill, MD 20748

blessinglady44@aol.com

Received & Inspected MAY 2 1 2010

FCC Maii Room

Federal
Communications
Commission
445 Twelfth Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Chairman Genachowski and Commissioners Copps, McDowell, Clyburn, and Baker,

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Service over broadband to communicate in American Sign Language, my primary language. For those of us who are deaf, VRS is a life-altering broadband service that is a vital link to the hearing community.

Ensuring that deaf individuals have access to VRS and encouraging improvements in VRS should be a high priority for you as Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires the FCC to make available to all deaf individuals nationwide "functionally equivalent" communications.

You will soon determine the future of VRS. When you set the VRS rate, you will determine whether America makes progress toward the statutory goals of functional equivalence, nationwide access, and inclusion – or force deaf users to revert to TTY communications. And, you will determine whether VRS fulfills its potential to drive broadband adoption by the deaf, even in the face of disproportionate poverty, disenfranchisement, and isolation.

I was deeply disturbed to see the Commission's recent Public Notice on VRS rates. These proposals will push VRS providers into bankruptcy and mean an end to VRS.

You should be increasing the availability and use of VRS, not cutting back. You should adopt a rate that encourages continuing improvements in VRS technology. Recent developments in VRS are a good example of how the service can be improved, such as enhanced 911 services, 10-digit numbering, a larger and better-trained pool of interpreters, and better videophones with an array of enhanced features. Monthly payments for broadband are a big expense for many deaf people, and instead of trying to cut back on VRS, you should be exploring ways to make VRS over broadband more affordable to deaf individuals.

Progress toward functional equivalence will be destroyed if the FCC does not encourage VRS providers to improve VRS and make it more widely available. VRS is a recent and dramatic advancement that benefits those who are deaf, but so much more can be done. It would be tragic if the FCC were to destroy this broadband service that is so vital to the deaf.

Sincerely,	1 1
Signature Cindly Martines	Date 5 15 10
Name Cindy Wartinez	
Address 109 SPruce Court #104	
City VA. Boach WA. State VA.	ZIP 23452
Email Clady Martines 2320 49h00, COM	·

Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Honorable Julius Genachowski, Chairman Commissioner Michael J. Copps Commissioner Robert M. McDowell Commissioner Mignon Clyburn Commissioner Meredith Attwell Baker Received & Inspected MAY 2 1 2010 FCC Maii Room

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

As a member of the deaf community, I am writing today to support the VRS rate proposal filed by Sorenson Communications. VRS was the first, and remains the best, broadband-based form of relay service. VRS allows me to use American Sign Language, my native language, to communicate across distance. Using VRS, I can make appointments and communicate with my family and friends. Because VRS is so essential in my everyday life, it is very important to me that the FCC establish a rate that ensures that VRS continues to thrive; Sorenson's proposal will do just that.

Sorenson proposes that the rate for all VRS providers be set at \$5.95 per minute for 2010-11 and reduced by 1% for the following four years. Establishing a five-year plan will maintain a stable business environment, enabling providers to set long-term goals, make long-term investments, and improve service to the deaf community. Setting a single rate that decreases each year will ensure that all providers compete on a "level playing field" and become more efficient every year.

Sorenson's proposal will allow VRS providers to continue to meet the needs of the deaf community and to advance the Americans with Disabilities Act's goals of improving technology, efficiency, nationwide access to communications, and functional equivalence.

Sincerely,

Signature //

Date 5-16-2010

Tahir Rathore 7300 EastGate Lane Alexandria, VA 22315 tahirrathore@hotmail.com

MAY 2 1 2010 FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Chairman Genachowski and Commissioners Copps, McDowell, Clyburn, and Baker,

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Service over broadband to communicate in American Sign Language, my primary language. For those of us who are deaf, VRS is a life-attering broadband service that is a vital link to the hearing community.

Ensuring that deaf individuals have access to VRS and encouraging improvements in VRS should be a high priority for you as Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires the FCC to make available to all deaf individuals nationwide "functionally equivalent" communications.

You will soon determine the future of VRS. When you set the VRS rate, you will determine whether America makes progress toward the statutory goals of functional equivalence, nationwide access, and inclusion – or force deaf users to revert to TTY communications. And, you will determine whether VRS fulfills its potential to drive broadband adoption by the deaf, even in the face of disproportionate poverty, disenfranchisement, and isolation.

I was deeply disturbed to see the Commission's recent Public Notice on VRS rates. These proposals will push VRS providers into bankruptcy and mean an end to VRS.

You should be increasing the availability and use of VRS, not cutting back. You should adopt a rate that encourages continuing improvements in VRS technology. Recent developments in VRS are a good example of how the service can be improved, such as enhanced 911 services, 10-digit numbering, a larger and better-trained pool of interpreters, and better videophones with an array of enhanced features. Monthly payments for broadband are a big expense for many deaf people, and instead of trying to cut back on VRS, you should be exploring ways to make VRS over broadband more affordable to deaf individuals.

Progress toward functional equivalence will be destroyed if the FCC does not encourage VRS providers to improve VRS and make it more widely available. VRS is a recent and dramatic advancement that benefits those who are deaf, but so much more can be done. It would be tragic if the FCC were to destroy this broadband service that is so vital to the deaf.

Sincerely,		
Signature Maio M	Yartus	Date 5/15/10
Name Antoine Mart	inez	·
Address 109 SPruce C		
city UA Beach	State VA	ZIP 23452
Email Cindy maxtines 2	32 Quahar com	

Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Honorable Julius Genachowski, Chairman Commissioner Michael J. Copps Commissioner Robert M. McDowell Commissioner Mignon Clyburn Commissioner Meredith Attwell Baker

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

Received & Inspected

MAY 2 1 2010

FCC Mail Room

As a member of the deaf community, I am writing today to support the VRS rate proposal filed by Sorenson Communications. VRS was the first, and remains the best, broadband-based form of relay service. VRS allows me to use American Sign Language, my native language, to communicate across distance. Using VRS, I can make appointments and communicate with my family and friends. Because VRS is so essential in my everyday life, it is very important to me that the FCC establish a rate that ensures that VRS continues to thrive; Sorenson's proposal will do just that.

Sorenson proposes that the rate for all VRS providers be set at \$5.95 per minute for 2010-11 and reduced by 1% for the following four years. Establishing a five-year plan will maintain a stable business environment, enabling providers to set long-term goals, make long-term investments, and improve service to the deaf community. Setting a single rate that decreases each year will ensure that all providers compete on a "level playing field" and become more efficient every year.

Sorenson's proposal will allow VRS providers to continue to meet the needs of the deaf community and to advance the Americans with Disabilities Act's goals of improving technology, efficiency, nationwide access to communications, and functional equivalence.

Sincerely,

Date 5-16-2010

Tahir Rathore

Defense Security Scrvice 1340 Braddock Place Alexandria, VA 22314

tahir.rathore@dss.mil

Received & inspected MAY 2 1 2010 FCC Maii Room

Federal
Communications
Commission
445 Twelfth Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Chairman Genachowski and Commissioners Copps, McDowell, Ciyburn, and Baker,

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Service over broadband to communicate in American Sign Language, my primary language. For those of us who are deaf, VRS is a life-altering broadband service that is a vital link to the hearing community.

Ensuring that deaf individuals have access to VRS and encouraging improvements in VRS should be a high priority for you as Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires the FCC to make available to all deaf individuals nationwide "functionally equivalent" communications.

You will soon determine the future of VRS. When you set the VRS rate, you will determine whether America makes progress toward the statutory goals of functional equivalence, nationwide access, and inclusion – or force deaf users to revert to TTY communications. And, you will determine whether VRS fulfills its potential to drive broadband adoption by the deaf, even in the face of disproportionate poverty, disenfranchisement, and isolation.

I was deeply disturbed to see the Commission's recent Public Notice on VRS rates. These proposals will push VRS providers into bankruptcy and mean an end to VRS.

You should be increasing the availability and use of VRS, not cuttiring back. You should adopt a rate that encourages continuing improvements in VRS technology. Recent developments in VRS are a good example of how the service can be improved, such as enhanced 911 services, 10-digit numbering, a larger and better-trained pool of interpreters, and better videophones with an array of enhanced features. Monthly payments for broadband are a big expense for many deaf people, and instead of trying to cut back on VRS, you should be exploring ways to make VRS over broadband more affordable to deaf individuals.

Progress toward functional equivalence will be destroyed if the FCC does not encourage VRS providers to improve VRS and make it more widely available. VRS is a recent and dramatic advancement that benefits those who are deaf, but so much more can be done. It would be tragic if the FCC were to destroy this broadband service that is so vital to the deaf.

Sincerely,		
Signature <u>Cherry</u> A, S _{ij}	sendock	Date 5-14-2010
0		
Name <u>Chekyl A. Sy</u> Address <u>328 Douglas</u>	purlock	
Address 328 Doughas	ct	
City Richmond	State KU	ZIP 40475-1825
Email CATS 59 (Q) EXC	ite. com	

Received & Inspected
MAY 2 1 2010
FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Chairman Genachowski and Commissioners Copps, McDowell, Clyburn, and Baker,

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Service over broadband to communicate in American Sign Language, my primary language. For those of us who are deaf, VRS is a life-altering broadband service that is a vital link to the hearing community.

Ensuring that deaf individuals have access to VRS and encouraging improvements in VRS should be a high priority for you as Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires the FCC to make available to all deaf individuals nationwide "functionally equivalent" communications.

You will soon determine the future of VRS. When you set the VRS rate, you will determine whether America makes progress toward the statutory goals of functional equivalence, nationwide access, and inclusion – or force deaf users to revert to TTY communications. And, you will determine whether VRS fulfills its potential to drive broadband adoption by the deaf, even in the face of disproportionate poverty, disenfranchisement, and isolation.

I was deeply disturbed to see the Commission's recent Public Notice on VRS rates. These proposals will push VRS providers into bankruptcy and mean an end to VRS.

You should be increasing the availability and use of VRS, not cutting back. You should adopt a rate that encourages continuing improvements in VRS technology. Recent developments in VRS are a good example of how the service can be improved, such as enhanced 911 services, 10-digit numbering, a larger and better-trained pool of interpreters, and better videophones with an array of enhanced features. Monthly payments for broadband are a big expense for many deaf people, and instead of trying to cut back on VRS, you should be exploring ways to make VRS over broadband more affordable to deaf individuals.

Progress toward functional equivalence will be destroyed if the FCC does not encourage VRS providers to improve VRS and make it more widely available. VRS is a recent and dramatic advancement that benefits those who are deaf, but so much more can be done. It would be tragic if the FCC were to destroy this broadband service that is so vital to the deaf.

Sincerely,		
Signature Rich D Spender	<u> </u>	Date <u>5-14-2010</u>
Name RICKY D. Spu Address 328 Doug Las	RLOCK	
Address 328 Doug Lns	ct.	
City Richmond	State/< _/	ZIP 40475-1825
Email CATS 59 @ EXC	ite. Com	

Received & Inspected
MAY 2.1 2010
FCC Mail Room

Federal
Communications
Commission
445 Twelfth Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Chairman Genachowski and Commissioners Copps, McDowell, Clyburn, and Baker,

Video Relay Service allows deaf individuals to communicate by phone in American Sign Language. This lifealtering broadband service is a vital link that connects deaf people to the hearing community.

Ensuring that deaf individuals have access to VRS and encouraging improvements in VRS should be a high priority for you as Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission. The Americans with Disabilities Act requires the FCC to make available to all deaf individuals nationwide "functionally equivalent" communications.

You will soon determine the future of VRS. When you set the VRS rate, you will determine whether America makes progress toward the statutory goals of functional equivalence, nationwide access, and inclusion – or force deaf users to revert to TTY communications. And, you will determine whether VRS fulfills its potential to drive broadband adoption by the deaf, even in the face of disproportionate poverty, disenfranchisement, and isolation.

I was deeply disturbed to see the Commission's recent Public Notice on VRS rates. These proposals will put VRS providers out of business and mean an end to VRS.

You should be increasing the availability and use of VRS, not cutting back. You should adopt a rate that encourages continuing improvements in VRS technology. Recent developments in VRS are a good example of how the service can be improved, such as enhanced 911 services, 10-digit numbering, a larger and better-trained pool of interpreters, and better videophones with an array of enhanced features. Monthly payments for broadband are a big expense for many deaf people, and instead of trying to cut back on VRS, you should be exploring ways to make VRS over broadband more affordable to deaf individuals.

Progress toward functional equivalence will be destroyed if the FCC does not encourage VRS providers to improve VRS and make it more widely available. VRS is a recent and dramatic advancement that benefits those who are deaf, but so much more can be done. It would be tragic if the FCC were to destroy this broadband service that is so vital to the deaf.

Sincerely,	i. 1 -
Signature	Date <u>5/13//0</u>
Name Jeni Fredricks	,
Address 103 Fawn Run 1)r.	
city Georgetoxon State XY	ZIP <u>403</u> 2
Email lookymae@ bellsouth.net	

Received & Inspected

MAY 2 1 2010

FCC Wall Room

Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Chairman Genachowski and Commissioners Copps, McDowell, Clyburn, and Baker,

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Service over broadband to communicate in American Sign Language, my primary language. For those of us who are deaf, VRS is a life-altering broadband service that is a vital link to the hearing community.

Ensuring that deaf individuals have access to VRS and encouraging improvements in VRS should be a high priority for you as Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires the FCC to make available to all deaf individuals nationwide "functionally equivalent" communications.

You will soon determine the future of VRS. When you set the VRS rate, you will determine whether America makes progress toward the statutory goals of functional equivalence, nationwide access, and inclusion – or force deaf users to revert to TTY communications. And, you will determine whether VRS fulfills its potential to drive broadband adoption by the deaf, even in the face of disproportionate poverty, disenfranchisement, and isolation.

I was deeply disturbed to see the Commission's recent Public Notice on VRS rates. These proposals will push VRS providers into bankruptcy and mean an end to VRS.

You should be increasing the availability and use of VRS, not cutting back. You should adopt a rate that encourages continuing improvements in VRS technology. Recent developments in VRS are a good example of how the service can be improved, such as enhanced 911 services, 10-digit numbering, a larger and better-trained pool of interpreters, and better videophones with an array of enhanced features. Monthly payments for broadband are a big expense for many deaf people, and instead of trying to cut back on VRS, you should be exploring ways to make VRS over broadband more affordable to deaf individuals.

Progress toward functional equivalence will be destroyed if the FCC does not encourage VRS providers to improve VRS and make it more widely available. VRS is a recent and dramatic advancement that benefits those who are deaf, but so much more can be done. It would be tragic if the FCC were to destroy this broadband service that is so vital to the deaf.

I urge you to establish a fair and predictable rate for VRS that will encourage VRS providers to invest in improving VRS and reaching more deaf individuals. The law requires it and it is the right thing to do.

Signature Montana A. Horchens	
Signature // Mtana Fl. Houchens	Date 5/15/2010
Name Montana A. Houchins	
Address 5/16 Sugar LOAF Or S.W.	
City Roanoke State VA	ZIP 240/8-2252
Email VP 866 288 4105	· - -

Oesf :

Received & Inspected MAY 2 1 2010 FCC Maii Room

Federal Communications. Commission 445 Twelfth Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Chairman Genachowski and Commissioners Copps, McDowell, Clyburn, and Baker,

Video Relay Service allows deaf individuals to communicate by phone in American Sign Language. This lifealtering broadband service is a vital link that connects deaf people to the hearing community.

Ensuring that deaf individuals have access to VRS and encouraging improvements in VRS should be a high priority for you as Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission. The Americans with Disabilities Act requires the FCC to make available to all deaf individuals nationwide "functionally equivalent" communications.

You will soon determine the future of VRS. When you set the VRS rate, you will determine whether America makes progress toward the statutory goals of functional equivalence, nationwide access, and inclusion - or force deaf users to revert to TTY communications. And, you will determine whether VRS fulfills its potential to drive broadband adoption by the deaf, even in the face of disproportionate poverty, disenfranchisement, and isolation.

I was deeply disturbed to see the Commission's recent Public Notice on VRS rates. These proposals will put VRS providers out of business and mean an end to VRS.

You should be increasing the availability and use of VRS, not cutting back. You should adopt a rate that encourages continuing improvements in VRS technology. Recent developments in VRS are a good example of how the service can be improved, such as enhanced 911 services, 10-digit numbering, a larger and bettertrained pool of interpreters, and better videophones with an array of enhanced features. Monthly payments for broadband are a big expense for many deaf people, and instead of trying to cut back on VRS, you should be exploring ways to make VRS over broadband more affordable to deaf individuals.

Progress toward functional equivalence will be destroyed if the FCC does not encourage VRS providers to improve VRS and make it more widely available. VRS is a recent and dramatic advancement that benefits those who are deaf, but so much more can be done. It would be tragic if the FCC were to destroy this broadband service that is so vital to the deaf.

improving vito and reaching more dear individuals. The law requires it	and it is the right thing to do.
Sincerely, A 1/0	
Signature Patty Klylı	
Name Patty Klinder Address 4691 Route 208	
Address 4691 Route 208	
City Knox State PA Email PKlingle- Q Altantic bb. Wet	ZIP 16232
-	
Work Communication 911 and I	intereter.
bad T.T.Y. is Mix text. I don't	tunders and lext by
T. T. Y	

Lorente Lorent

Received & Inspected MAY 2 1 2010 FCC Maii Room

Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Chairman Genachowski and Commissioners Copps, McDowell, Clyburn, and Baker,

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Service over broadband to communicate in American Sign Language, my primary language. For those of us who are deaf, VRS is a life-altering broadband service that is a vital link to the hearing community.

Ensuring that deaf individuals have access to VRS and encouraging improvements in VRS should be a high priority for you as Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires the FCC to make available to all deaf individuals nationwide "functionally equivalent" communications.

You will soon determine the future of VRS. When you set the VRS rate, you will determine whether America makes progress toward the statutory goals of functional equivalence, nationwide access, and inclusion – or force deaf users to revert to TTY communications. And, you will determine whether VRS fulfills its potential to drive broadband adoption by the deaf, even in the face of disproportionate poverty, disenfranchisement, and isolation.

I was deeply disturbed to see the Commission's recent Public Notice on VRS rates. These proposals will push VRS providers into bankruptcy and mean an end to VRS.

You should be increasing the availability and use of VRS, not cutting back. You should adopt a rate that encourages continuing improvements in VRS technology. Recent developments in VRS are a good example of how the service can be improved, such as enhanced 911 services, 10-digit numbering, a larger and better-trained pool of interpreters, and better videophones with an array of enhanced features. Monthly payments for broadband are a big expense for many deaf people, and instead of trying to cut back on VRS, you should be exploring ways to make VRS over broadband more affordable to deaf individuals.

Progress toward functional equivalence will be destroyed if the FCC does not encourage VRS providers to improve VRS and make it more widely available. VRS is a recent and dramatic advancement that benefits those who are deaf, but so much more can be done. It would be tragic if the FCC were to destroy this broadband service that is so vital to the deaf.

Sincerely, // /	
Signature Murle (John)	Date May 12,00/0
Name Shirley Fetzer	
Address 1078 Bert Circle	
City teun, The State PA	ZIP 15675-9579
Email State Wiseherry 30 hot mil	·

The attached document is part of a mass mailing. The number of identical documents as specified in the File Number/City, St. field have been received by the Commission on this same date. You may view the documents at the FCC Reference Information Center, at 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC, Room CY-A257.